### Stand-level estimates of available water holding capacity: the missing piece in the site quality puzzle?

Henry Rodman April 28, 2015

### Research directive

- 1. Identification of site factors that control carrying capacity
- Integration of field sampling and remotely sensed information in assessments of site productivity
- **3. Improve** estimates of site characteristics for use in silvicultural prescriptions and growth modeling



### Research directive

4. Development of a procedure for refining estimates of site quality that can be used by forestry practitioners



### Carrying capacity



### Available water holding capacity (AWHC)



### Digital elevation model (DEM)

### How does it all fit together?

### Context for research: Silviculture

- Accurate stand descriptions are essential to management
  - Site characteristics are more difficult to describe
- Carrying capacity maximum stand density index
  - Not necessarily correlated with site index
  - Varies from site to site
- A stand's response to silvicultural treatments may be dependent upon carrying capacity
  - Improved estimates of stand carrying capacity could inform stand density management decisions, fertilization treatments, etc.

### Context for research: Silviculture

- In western Oregon tree growth is often water-limited
- A better understanding of limiting resources at a site will improve efficiency of silvicultural practices



### Context for research: Soil science

- AWHC is determined by soil texture, organic matter, coarse fragments
  - Pedotransfer functions use these attributes to estimate AWHC
- AWHC can be precisely measured by lab analysis
  - This is costly and takes time
- Field estimates of AWHC can be reliable
  - Lower precision than lab analysis
- Spatial variability of soil properties is high
  - Interpolation between sample points is necessary for mapping
  - Microsite variability

### Context for research: Soil science

Pedometrics: the application of mathematical and statistical methods for the study of the distribution and genesis of soils.

official definition from the Pedometrics Commission



### Context for research: Soil science

- Topography is recognized as a soil-forming factor
  - digital terrain modeling
- High-resolution topographic data (LiDAR) is widely available



### Study area: Panther Creek Watershed

- Cooperative study area
  Landowners: BLM, Weyerhaeuser Co.
  - ii. 2,300 hectares

#### 2. Data

- i. 84 permanent, 0.08-ha inventory plots
  - a. Two measurements 2009, 2012
- ii. 34 soil pits
- iii. Multiple LiDAR flights
- iv. Temperature and precipitation





### Study objectives

- 1. Process stand/tree growth, soils data
- 2. Perform terrain analysis using DEM
- 3. Expand soil sampling across study area



### Study objectives

 Fit plot growth model that includes topography/soils attributes as explanatory variables

5. Perform cost-benefit analysis of auxiliary data collection for stand growth prediction



## Methods

### Methods: Terrain analysis – Spring 2015

#### 1. Software:

- a. QGIS/SAGA
- b. ArcGIS

#### 2. Terrain indices

- a) Slope, aspect
- b) Topographic wetness index (TWI)
- c) Topographic position incex (TPI)
- d) Terrain roughness
- e) Terrain curvature

These provide information about drainage, probability of moist soil conditions







### Methods: Soil sampling – Summer 2015

- 1. Visit study area for soil sampling during summer 2015
- 2. Sample soils down to 50 cm mineral soil depth
  - a) Rooting zone
- 3. Observe texture, percent coarse content, organic component of each observed soil horizon
- 4. Precise location of soil samples will be recorded with a mapping-grade GPS device



http://oregonsoils.org/

### Methods: Analysis

1. Calculate plot-level volume and basal area growth for 2009-2012

- 2. Fit plot growth model that includes topography and soils information as explanatory variables
- 3. Analyze the predictive power of remotely sensed topographic data and soils data collected in the field



# Thank you

### henry.rodman@oregonstate.edu